

General Education Scoring Guide for Critical Thinking
California State University, Fresno
(sample analytic rubric)

Scoring Level	Interpretation	Analysis & Evaluation	Presentation
4 - Accomplished	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Analyzes insightful questions - Refutes bias - Critiques content - Examines inconsistencies - Values information 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Examines conclusions - Uses reasonable judgment - Discriminates rationally - Synthesizes data - Views information critically 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Argues succinctly - Discusses issues thoroughly - Shows intellectual honesty - Justifies decisions - Assimilates information
3 - Competent	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Asks insightful questions - Detects bias. - Categorizes content. - Identifies inconsistencies - Recognizes context 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Formulates conclusions - Recognizes arguments - Notices differences - Evaluates data - Seeks out information 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Argues clearly - Identifies issues - Attributes sources naturally - Suggests solutions - Incorporates information
2 - Developing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Identifies some questions - Notes some bias - Recognizes basic content - States some inconsistencies - Selects sources adequately 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Identifies some conclusions - Sees some arguments - Identifies some differences - Paraphrases data - Assumes information valid 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Misconstructs arguments - Generalizes issues - Cites sources - Presents few options - Overlooks some information
1 - Beginning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Fails to question data - Ignores bias - Misses major content areas - Detects no inconsistencies - Chooses biased sources 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Fails to draw conclusions - Sees no arguments - Overlooks differences - Repeats data - Omits research 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Omits argument - Misrepresents issues - Excludes data - Draws faulty conclusions - Shows intellectual dishonesty